

## Open Letter to Radio New Zealand from NZ911truth.org on behalf of the Rethink911 campaign

Dear Producers of Radio New Zealand

On behalf of the international campaign [ReThink911](#), [NZ911truth.org](#) has placed posters on The Terrace near your building to call attention to the evidence cited by over 2,000 architects and engineers that World Trade Centre Building 7 was brought down by controlled demolition on 9/11. We urge you to look at the evidence starting with the [video footage](#) of the collapse and report on the challenges being made to the official explanation of Building 7's destruction.

The question of what happened to Building 7 is simply too important in the account of 9/11 for the citizens of New Zealand not to examine in a careful and balanced way. To that end, we urge that in your coverage of 9/11 your reporting includes the following:



- A reference to this 30-second video showing the destruction of Building 7 from four different angles: <http://youtu.be/Mamvq7LWqRU>. According to a [YouGov poll](#) commissioned and released by the ReThink911 campaign in September 2013, 46% of Americans still do not know that a third tower fell on 9/11, and yet 46% of those who see this video footage suspect it was a controlled demolition, compared to only 28% who suspect it was caused by ordinary office fires (as the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) contends was the cause of the collapse of Building 7).
- Building 7 underwent absolute free-fall during the first 2.25 seconds of its descent, a fact only admitted by NIST in its final report after prodding by members of AE911truth. However, NIST's [computer simulation of Building 7's destruction](#) still does not include a period of free-fall. Architects, engineers and scientists who challenge the NIST report state that free-fall could have only been achieved by removing all of the building's columns simultaneously with explosives.
- NIST acknowledged that Building 7's collapse was the [first known instance](#) of a tall building brought down primarily by uncontrolled fires. The New York Times [reported in November 2001](#) that engineers and other experts were stunned by the collapse. FEMA's report, published in May of 2002, stated that the cause of Building 7's collapse [still remained unknown](#), and that "the best hypothesis had only a low probability of occurrence." And yet, the [NYC Office of Emergency Management and others on the scene](#) knew with certainty early in the day that Building 7 would eventually come down.

The time is right to present this evidence to the New Zealand public. The wars in Afghanistan and Iraq have shown how easily governments can be lead into war based on propaganda and false evidence. The recent revelations of wide-spread domestic spying threaten the hard-won democratic freedoms, civil rights, and privacy of New Zealanders. This spying, along with our continued involvement in Afghanistan, is legitimised by the War on Terror and the official narrative of 9/11.

Thank you for your re-consideration of this most important issue.

Yours sincerely

[NZ911truth.org](#) on behalf of [The ReThink911 Campaign](#) and  
[Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth](#)

contacts: [admin@nz911truth.org](mailto:admin@nz911truth.org) and Phillip Rose, media spokesperson (027 306 5904)